		UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Person Department Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/900,737	07/06/2001	John David Whitenack	13DV13763	7008	
	90 07/15/2004		EXAMINER		
29399 75	0//15/2004		O CONNOR, GERALD J		
JOHN S. BEU	LICK		O CONNOR,	GERALD J	
JOHN S. BEU C/O ARMSTRO			O CONNOR, ART UNIT	GERALD J PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

' ''

١,

• •、

.

۱

t`

			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Sum		09/900,737		Whitenack	tetal.
Office Action Summary		Examiner O'Conn	or	Art Unit 3627		
	The MAILING DATE of this c	ommunication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the corres	pondence addr	'ess
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI		SET TO EXPIRE	e MONTH	H(S) FROM	
- Extens mailing	sions of time may be available under the prov g date of this communication.	sions of 37 CFR 1.136	-			HS from the
- If NO - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maxim to reply within the set or extended period for apply received by the Office later than three m I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	um statutory period wil r reply will, by statute, onths after the mailing o	I apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	THS from the mailin BANDONED (35 U.S	ng date of this comm S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status	i patent term aujustment. See 57 CFN 1.70-	·(b).				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication	(s) filed on				·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL.	2b) 💢 Th	is action is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in cor closed in accordance with the					ne merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 🔀	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		<u></u>	is/are	pending in th	e application.
2	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>none</u>			is/ar	e withdrawn f	rom consideration
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed	
6) 🗌	Claim(s)					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)					
8	Claims <u>1-20</u>					
	ation Papers					
	The specification is objected t	o by the Examir	ner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on		is/are a) 🗌 accepted or	b) 🗌 objecte	ed to by the Ex	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that		the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correc	tion filed on	is: a)[approved	b) 🗌 disappro	ved by the Exami
	If approved, corrected drawing	s are required in	reply to this Office action			
12)	The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the I	Examiner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1					
	Acknowledgement is made of		ign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	□ All b)□ Some* c)□ N					
	1. Certified copies of the p					
	2. Certified copies of the p				-	
	3. Copies of the certified ca application from ee the attached detailed Office	the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	this National	Stage
_	Acknowledgement is made of		•			
_	The translation of the foreig					
_	Acknowledgement is made of) and/or 121.	
Attachm						
1) 🗌 No	ptice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper	No(s).	
2) 🗌 Na	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		5) 🗌 Notice of Informal	Patent Application	(PTO-152)	
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1445		6) Other:			

Application: 09/900,737

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a networked electrical computer or digital processing system comprising remote data accessing, classified in class 709, subclass 218.
- II. Claims 9-14, drawn to a method of database or file accessing comprising distributed or remote access, classified in class 707, subclass 10.
- III. Claims 15-20, drawn to an electrical computer or digital processing system
 comprising an application program interface, classified in class 709, subclass 328.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Invention II is related to each of Inventions I and III, as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that *either*: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, *or* (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, each of the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process, such as a process in which the user information is downloaded to the server rather than uploaded to it.

Paper No. 9 Page 3

Invention I is related to Invention III as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that: (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, *and* (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In this case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, because a system in accordance with Invention I need not include any provision in the server for controlling access. The subcombination has separate utility, such as for use in a system having no additional computers.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was placed to Mr. William Scott Andes (Reg. N° 33,582), attorney for applicant, on July 7, 2004, to discuss an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the call did not result in an election being made.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, *must* include an election of the invention to be examined, even if the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3627

Paper No. 9

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, Jerry O'Connor, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1525, and whose facsimile number is (703) 746-3976.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

Inquiries of a general nature or simply relating to the status of the application should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. **Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (703) 872-9306** (fax-back auto-reply receipt service provided). Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be left with the receptionist on the seventh floor of Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Dr, Arlington, VA 22202.

GJOC July 12, 2004

[7-12-04) Gerald J. O'Connor

Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3627